

Couplings of Pions with Heavy Baryons From Light-Cone QCD Sum Rules in the Leading Order of HQET

Shi-lin Zhu and Yuan-Ben Dai

Institute of Theoretical Physics, Academia Sinica, P.O.Box 2735, Beijing 100080, China

Abstract

The couplings of pions with heavy baryons $g_2(\Sigma^*, \Sigma)$ and $g_3(\Sigma^*, \Lambda)$ are studied with light-cone QCD sum rules in the leading order of heavy quark effective theory. Both sum rules are stable. Our results are $g_2 = 1.56 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.3$, $g_3 = 0.94 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.2$.

PACS number: 12.39.Hg, 14.20.Lq, 13.75.Gx, 12.38.Lg

Keywords: HQET, pion heavy baryon coupling, light cone QCD sum rule

1 Introduction

Important progress has been achieved in the interpretation of heavy hadrons composed of a heavy quark with the development of the heavy quark effective theory (HQET) [1]. HQET provides a systematic expansion of the heavy hadron spectra and transition amplitude in terms of $1/m_Q$, where m_Q is the heavy quark mass. Of course one has to employ some specific nonperturbative methods to arrive at the detailed predictions. Among the various nonperturbative methods, QCD sum rules is useful to extract the low-lying hadron properties [2].

The couplings of the heavy mesons with pions has been analysed with QCD sum rules [5-15]. The couplings of heavy baryons with soft pions are estimated from QCD sum rules in an external axial field [15]. In this approach the mass difference Δ between the baryons in the initial and final states is approximately taken to be zero.

In this work we employ the light-cone QCD sum rules (LCQSR) in HQET to calculate the couplings $g_{2,3}$ to the leading order of $1/m_Q$. The LCQSR is quite different from the conventional QCD sum rules, which is based on the short-distance operator product expansion (OPE). The LCQSR is based on the OPE on the light cone, which is the expansion over the twists of the operators. The main contribution comes from the lowest twist operator. Matrix elements of nonlocal operators sandwiched between a hadronic state and the vacuum defines the hadron wave functions. The LCQSR approach has the advantage that the double Borel transformation is used so that the the continuum contribution is treated in a way better than the external field approach. Moreover, the final sum rule depends only on the value of the wave function at a specific point like $\varphi_\pi(u_0 = \frac{1}{2})$, which is much better known than the whole wave function [12].

2 Sum rules for the coupling constants

We first introduce the interpolating currents for the heavy baryons:

$$\eta_\Lambda(x) = \epsilon_{abc}[u^{aT}(x)C\gamma_5 d^b(x)]h_v^c(x), \quad (1)$$

$$\eta_{\Sigma^+}(x) = \epsilon_{abc}[u^{aT}(x)C\gamma_\mu d^b(x)]\gamma_t^\mu \gamma_5 h_v^c(x), \quad (2)$$

$$\eta_{\Sigma^{++}^*}^\mu(x) = \epsilon_{abc}[u^{aT}(x)C\gamma_\nu u^b(x)](-g_t^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{3}\gamma_t^\mu \gamma_t^\nu)h_v^c(x), \quad (3)$$

where a, b, c is the color index, $u(x), d(x), h_v(x)$ is the up, down and heavy quark fields, T denotes the transpose, C is the charge conjugate matrix, $g_t^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu} - v^\mu v^\nu$, $\gamma_t^\mu = \gamma_\mu - \hat{v}v^\mu$, and v^μ is the velocity of the heavy hadron.

The overlap amplitudes of the interpolating currents with the heavy baryons is defined as:

$$\langle 0|\eta_\Lambda|\Lambda\rangle = f_\Lambda u_\Lambda, \quad (4)$$

$$\langle 0|\eta_\Sigma|\Sigma\rangle = f_\Sigma u_\Sigma, \quad (5)$$

$$\langle 0|\eta_{\Sigma^*}^\mu|\Sigma^*\rangle = \frac{f_{\Sigma^*}}{\sqrt{3}}u_{\Sigma^*}^\mu, \quad (6)$$

where $u_{\Sigma^*}^\mu$ is the Rarita-Schwinger spinor in HQET. In the leading order of HQET, $f_\Sigma = f_{\Sigma^*}$ [16].

We adopt the same notations for $g_{2,3}$ as in [19]. The coupling constants g_2 and g_3 are defined through the following amplitudes:

$$M(\Sigma_c^* \rightarrow \Lambda_c \pi) = i \frac{g_3}{f_\pi} \bar{u}_{\Lambda_c} q_\mu u_{\Sigma_c^*}^\mu, \quad (7)$$

$$M(\Sigma_c^* \rightarrow \Sigma_c \pi) = \frac{g_2}{\sqrt{6}f_\pi} i \epsilon_{\nu\rho\sigma\mu} v^\sigma q_t^\nu \bar{u}_{\Sigma_c} \gamma_t^\rho \gamma_5 u_{\Sigma_c^*}^\mu, \quad (8)$$

where $f_\pi = 132\text{MeV}$, q_μ is the pion momentum. The process (8) is kinematically forbidden. It is very important to get a reliable estimate of the coupling g_2 since it is not directly accessible experimentally.

In order to derive the sum rules for the coupling constants we consider the correlators

$$\int d^4x e^{-ik \cdot x} \langle \pi(q) | T(\eta_{\Sigma^*}^\mu(0) \bar{\eta}_\Sigma(x)) | 0 \rangle = \frac{1+\hat{v}}{2} (-g_t^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{3}\gamma_t^\mu \gamma_t^\nu) i \epsilon_{m\rho\nu\mu} q_t^m v^\rho \gamma_t^\rho \gamma_5 G_{\Sigma^*,\Sigma}(\omega, \omega'), \quad (9)$$

$$\int d^4x e^{-ik \cdot x} \langle \pi(q) | T(\eta_{\Sigma^*}^\mu(0) \bar{\eta}_\Lambda(x)) | 0 \rangle = \frac{1+\hat{v}}{2} q_t^\nu (-g_t^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{3}\gamma_t^\mu \gamma_t^\nu) G_{\Sigma^*,\Lambda}(\omega, \omega'), \quad (10)$$

where $k' = k - q$, $q_\mu^t = q_\mu - (q \cdot v)v_\mu$, $\omega = 2v \cdot k$, $\omega' = 2v \cdot k'$ and $q^2 = 0$.

Let us first consider the function $G_{\Sigma^*,\Lambda}(\omega, \omega')$ in (10). As a function of two variables, it has the following pole terms from double dispersion relation

$$\frac{4g_3}{\sqrt{3}f_\pi} \frac{f_{\Sigma^*} f_\Lambda}{(2\bar{\Lambda}_{\Sigma^*} - \omega')(2\bar{\Lambda}_\Lambda - \omega)} + \frac{c}{2\bar{\Lambda}_{\Sigma^*} - \omega'} + \frac{c'}{2\bar{\Lambda}_\Lambda - \omega}, \quad (11)$$

where f_{Σ^*} etc are constants defined in (4)-(6), $\bar{\Lambda}_{\Sigma^*} = m_{\Sigma^*} - m_Q$.

Neglecting the four particle component of the pion wave function, the expression for $G_{\Sigma^*,\Lambda}(\omega, \omega')$ with the tensor structure reads

$$4 \int_0^\infty dt \int dx e^{-ikx} \delta(-x - vt) \text{Tr}\{\langle \pi(q) | u(0) \bar{d}(x) | 0 \rangle [\gamma_5 C i S^T(-x) C \gamma_\nu]\} , \quad (12)$$

where $iS(-x)$ is the full light quark propagator with both perturbative term and contribution from vacuum fields.

$$\begin{aligned} iS(x) &= \langle 0 | T[q(x), \bar{q}(0)] | 0 \rangle \\ &= i \frac{\hat{x}}{2\pi^2 x^4} - \frac{\langle \bar{q}q \rangle}{12} - \frac{x^2}{192} \langle \bar{q} g_s \sigma \cdot G q \rangle \\ &\quad - i g_s \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int_0^1 du \left\{ \frac{\hat{x}}{x^2} \sigma \cdot G(ux) - 4iu \frac{x_\mu}{x^2} G^{\mu\nu}(ux) \gamma_n u \right\} + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Similarly for $G_{\Sigma^*,\Sigma}(\omega, \omega')$ we have:

$$4 \int_0^\infty dt \int dx e^{-ikx} \delta(-x - vt) \text{Tr}\{\langle \pi(q) | u(0) \bar{d}(x) | 0 \rangle [\gamma_\rho C i S^T(-x) C \gamma_\nu]\} , \quad (14)$$

To the present approximation, we need the following OPE near the light cone for two- and three-particle pion wave functions [12]:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pi(q) | \bar{d}(x) \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 u(0) | 0 \rangle &= -i f_\pi q_\mu \int_0^1 du e^{iuqx} (\varphi_\pi(u) + x^2 g_1(u) + \mathcal{O}(x^4)) \\ &\quad + f_\pi \left(x_\mu - \frac{x^2 q_\mu}{qx}\right) \int_0^1 du e^{iuqx} g_2(u) , \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

$$\langle \pi(q) | \bar{d}(x) i \gamma_5 u(0) | 0 \rangle = \frac{f_\pi m_\pi^2}{m_u + m_d} \int_0^1 du e^{iuqx} \varphi_P(u) , \quad (16)$$

$$\langle \pi(q) | \bar{d}(x) \sigma_{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 u(0) | 0 \rangle = i(q_\mu x_\nu - q_\nu x_\mu) \frac{f_\pi m_\pi^2}{6(m_u + m_d)} \int_0^1 du e^{iuqx} \varphi_\sigma(u) . \quad (17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pi(q) | \bar{d}(x) \sigma_{\alpha\beta} \gamma_5 g_s G_{\mu\nu}(ux) u(0) | 0 \rangle &= \\ i f_{3\pi} [(q_\mu q_\alpha g_{\nu\beta} - q_\nu q_\alpha g_{\mu\beta}) - (q_\mu q_\beta g_{\nu\alpha} - q_\nu q_\beta g_{\mu\alpha})] \int \mathcal{D}\alpha_i \varphi_{3\pi}(\alpha_i) e^{iqx(\alpha_1 + \nu\alpha_3)} , \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pi(q) | \bar{d}(x) \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 g_s G_{\alpha\beta}(vx) u(0) | 0 \rangle &= \\ f_\pi \left[q_\beta \left(g_{\alpha\mu} - \frac{x_\alpha q_\mu}{q \cdot x} \right) - q_\alpha \left(g_{\beta\mu} - \frac{x_\beta q_\mu}{q \cdot x} \right) \right] \int \mathcal{D}\alpha_i \varphi_\perp(\alpha_i) e^{iqx(\alpha_1 + \nu\alpha_3)} \\ + f_\pi \frac{q_\mu}{q \cdot x} (q_\alpha x_\beta - q_\beta x_\alpha) \int \mathcal{D}\alpha_i \varphi_\parallel(\alpha_i) e^{iqx(\alpha_1 + \nu\alpha_3)} \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pi(q) | \bar{d}(x) \gamma_\mu g_s \tilde{G}_{\alpha\beta}(vx) u(0) | 0 \rangle &= \\ i f_\pi \left[q_\beta \left(g_{\alpha\mu} - \frac{x_\alpha q_\mu}{q \cdot x} \right) - q_\alpha \left(g_{\beta\mu} - \frac{x_\beta q_\mu}{q \cdot x} \right) \right] \int \mathcal{D}\alpha_i \tilde{\varphi}_\perp(\alpha_i) e^{iqx(\alpha_1 + \nu\alpha_3)} \\ + i f_\pi \frac{q_\mu}{q \cdot x} (q_\alpha x_\beta - q_\beta x_\alpha) \int \mathcal{D}\alpha_i \tilde{\varphi}_\parallel(\alpha_i) e^{iqx(\alpha_1 + \nu\alpha_3)} . \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

The operator $\tilde{G}_{\alpha\beta}$ is the dual of $G_{\alpha\beta}$: $\tilde{G}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\delta\rho}G^{\delta\rho}$; $\mathcal{D}\alpha_i$ is defined as $\mathcal{D}\alpha_i = d\alpha_1 d\alpha_2 d\alpha_3 \delta(1-\alpha_1-\alpha_2-\alpha_3)$. Due to the choice of the gauge $x^\mu A_\mu(x) = 0$, the path-ordered gauge factor $P \exp(i g_s \int_0^1 du x^\mu A_\mu(ux))$ has been omitted.

The wave function $\varphi_\pi(u)$ is associated with the leading twist 2 operator, $g_1(u)$ and $g_2(u)$ correspond to twist 4 operators, and $\varphi_P(u)$ and $\varphi_\sigma(u)$ to twist 3 ones. The function $\varphi_{3\pi}$ is of twist three, while all the wave functions appearing in eqs.(19), (20) are of twist four. The wave functions $\varphi(x_i, \mu)$ (μ is the renormalization point) describe the distribution in longitudinal momenta inside the pion, the parameters x_i ($\sum_i x_i = 1$) representing the fractions of the longitudinal momentum carried by the quark, the antiquark and gluon.

The wave function normalizations immediately follow from the definitions (15)-(20): $\int_0^1 du \varphi_\pi(u) = \int_0^1 du \varphi_\sigma(u) = 1$, $\int_0^1 du g_1(u) = \delta^2/12$, $\int \mathcal{D}\alpha_i \varphi_\perp(\alpha_i) = \int \mathcal{D}\alpha_i \varphi_\parallel(\alpha_i) = 0$, $\int \mathcal{D}\alpha_i \tilde{\varphi}_\perp(\alpha_i) = -\int \mathcal{D}\alpha_i \tilde{\varphi}_\parallel(\alpha_i) = \delta^2/3$, with the parameter δ defined by the matrix element: $\langle \pi(q) | \bar{d} g_s \tilde{G}_{\alpha\mu} \gamma^\alpha u | 0 \rangle = i\delta^2 f_\pi q_\mu$.

Expressing (12) and (14) with the pion wave functions, we arrive at:

$$G_{\Sigma^*, \Lambda}(\omega, \omega') = \frac{i}{3} f_\pi \int_0^\infty dt \int_0^1 du e^{i(1-u)\frac{\omega t}{2}} e^{iu\frac{\omega' t}{2}} \left\{ \frac{1}{\pi^2 t^2} \mu_\pi \varphi_\sigma(u) - (\langle \bar{q}q \rangle + \frac{t^2}{16} \langle \bar{q} g_s \sigma \cdot Gq \rangle) [\varphi_\pi(u) + \frac{it}{q \cdot v} g_2(u) + t^2 g_1(u)] \right\} \quad (21)$$

$$+ \frac{2}{\pi^2} f_{3\pi} \int \frac{dt}{t} \int_0^1 du u \int \mathcal{D}\alpha_i e^{i\frac{\omega t}{2}[1-(\alpha_1-u\alpha_3)]} e^{i\frac{\omega' t}{2}(\alpha_1-u\alpha_3)} (q \cdot v) \varphi_{3\pi}(\alpha_i) \quad , \quad (22)$$

$$G_{\Sigma^*, \Sigma}(\omega, \omega') = \frac{2}{\pi^2} f_\pi \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t^3} \int_0^1 du e^{i(1-u)\frac{\omega t}{2}} e^{iu\frac{\omega' t}{2}} [\varphi_\pi(u) + \frac{it}{q \cdot v} g_2(u) + t^2 g_1(u)] + \frac{f_\pi}{\pi^2} \int \frac{dt}{t} \int_0^1 du \int \mathcal{D}\alpha_i e^{i\frac{\omega t}{2}[1-(\alpha_1-u\alpha_3)]} e^{i\frac{\omega' t}{2}(\alpha_1-u\alpha_3)} [\tilde{\varphi}_\parallel(\alpha_i) - \tilde{\varphi}_\perp(\alpha_i) + (\frac{1}{2} - u)\varphi_\parallel(\alpha_i)] \quad (23)$$

where $\mu_\pi = 1.76\text{GeV}$, $f_\pi = 132\text{MeV}$, $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle = -(225\text{MeV})^3$, $\langle \bar{q} g_s \sigma \cdot Gq \rangle = m_0^2 \langle \bar{q}q \rangle$, $m_0^2 = 0.8\text{GeV}^2$. For large euclidean values of ω and ω' this integral is dominated by the region of small t , therefore it can be approximated by the first a few terms.

After Wick rotations and making double Borel transformation with the variables ω and ω' the single-pole terms in (11) are eliminated. Subtracting the continuum contribution which is modeled by the dispersion integral in the region $\omega, \omega' \geq \omega_c$, we arrive at:

$$g_3 f_{\Sigma^*} f_\Lambda = -\frac{f_\pi^2}{8\sqrt{3}\pi^2} e^{\frac{\Lambda_{\Sigma^*} + \Lambda_\Lambda}{T}} \left\{ \mu_\pi \varphi_\sigma(u_0) T^3 f_2\left(\frac{\omega_c}{T}\right) - a [\varphi_\pi(u_0) T f_0\left(\frac{\omega_c}{T}\right) - \frac{4}{T} g_1(u_0) + \frac{4}{T} G_2(u_0)] + \frac{am_0^2}{4T^2} [\varphi_\pi(u_0) - \frac{4}{T^2} g_1(u_0) + \frac{4}{T^2} G_2(u_0)] \right\} \quad (24)$$

$$+ \frac{f_\pi f_{3\pi} \sqrt{3}}{4\pi^2} I_3^G(u_0) T^3 f_3\left(\frac{\omega_c}{T}\right) \quad , \quad (25)$$

where $f_n(x) = 1 - e^{-x} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{x^k}{k!}$ is the factor used to subtract the continuum, ω_c is the continuum threshold. $u_0 = \frac{T_1}{T_1+T_2}$, $T \equiv \frac{T_1 T_2}{T_1+T_2}$, T_1, T_2 are the Borel parameters $a = -(2\pi)^2 \langle \bar{q}q \rangle$. The functions $G_2(u_0)$ and $I_3^G(u_0)$ are defined as:

$$G_2(u_0) = \int_0^{u_0} g_2(u) du \quad , \quad (26)$$

$$I_3^G(u_0) = \int_{u_0}^{\frac{1+u_0}{2}} d\alpha_1 \left[\frac{\varphi_{3\pi}(\alpha_1, 1+u_0-2\alpha_1, \alpha_1-u_0)}{\alpha_1-u_0} - \int_0^{1-\alpha_1} d\alpha_3 \frac{\varphi_{3\pi}(\alpha_1, 1-\alpha_1-\alpha_3, \alpha_3)}{\alpha_3^2} \right]. \quad (27)$$

We have used integration by parts to absorb the factors $(q \cdot v)$ and $1/(q \cdot v)$. In this way we arrive at the simple form after double Borel transformation. In obtaining (24) we have used the Borel transformation formula: $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_\omega^T e^{\alpha\omega} = \delta(\alpha - \frac{1}{T})$.

Similarly we have:

$$g_2 f_{\Sigma^*} f_\Sigma = -\frac{3\sqrt{2}}{8\pi^2} f_\pi^2 e^{\frac{2\Lambda_\Sigma}{T}} \left\{ \varphi_\pi(u_0) T^4 f_3\left(\frac{\omega_c}{T}\right) + 4G_2(u_0) T^2 f_1\left(\frac{\omega_c}{T}\right) \right. \\ \left. - 4g_1(u_0) T^2 f_1\left(\frac{\omega_c}{T}\right) - \frac{a}{9} \mu_\pi \varphi_\sigma(u_0) + \frac{am_0^2}{36T^2} \mu_\pi \varphi_\sigma(u_0) \right\} \quad (28)$$

$$+ \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{4\pi^2} f_\pi^2 I_4^G(u_0) T^2 f_1\left(\frac{\omega_c}{T}\right), \quad (29)$$

where the function $I_4^G(u_0)$ is defined as:

$$I_4^G(u_0) = \int_{u_0}^{\frac{1+u_0}{2}} d\alpha_1 \int_0^{1-\alpha_1} \frac{d\alpha_3}{\alpha_3} [\tilde{\varphi}_\parallel(\alpha_i) - \tilde{\varphi}_\perp(\alpha_i) + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\alpha_1-u_0}{\alpha_3}\right) \varphi_\parallel(\alpha_i)]. \quad (30)$$

From (24) and (28) we know that both g_2 and g_3 are negative using the notations in [19]. In the following we will always discuss the absolute values of $g_{2,3}$.

3 Determination of the parameters

In order to obtain the coupling constants from (24)-(28) we need the mass parameters $\bar{\Lambda}$'s and the coupling constants f 's of the corresponding interpolating currents as input. The results are [16]

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Lambda}_\Lambda &= 0.8 \text{ GeV} & f_\Lambda &= (0.018 \pm 0.002) \text{ GeV}^3, \\ \bar{\Lambda}_\Sigma &= 1.0 \text{ GeV} & f_\Sigma &= (0.04 \pm 0.004) \text{ GeV}^3. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

For the sum rule (24) and (28) the continuum threshold is $\omega_c = (2.5 \pm 0.1) \text{ GeV}$.

We use the wave functions adopted in [12] to compute the coupling constants. Moreover, we choose to work at the symmetric point $T_1 = T_2 = 2T$, i.e., $u_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ as traditionally done in literature [12]. Such a choice is very reasonable for the sum rules for g_2 since Σ_c^* and Σ_c are degenerate in the leading order of HQET. The mass difference between Σ_c^* and Λ_c is about 0.2 GeV. Due to the large values of $T_1, T_2 \sim 3.2 \text{ GeV} \gg \Delta$ used below, the choice of $T_1 = T_2$ is acceptable. We use the scale $\mu = 1.3 \text{ GeV}$, at which the values of the various functions appearing in (24)-(28) at $u_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ are: $\varphi_\pi(u_0) = 1.22$, $\varphi_P(u_0) = 1.142$, $\varphi_\sigma(u_0) = 1.463$, $g_1(u_0) = 0.034 \text{ GeV}^2$, $G_2(u_0) = -0.02 \text{ GeV}^2$, $I_3^G(u_0) = -2.75$ and $I_4^G(u_0) = -0.24 \text{ GeV}^2$. We have used the asymptotic forms for the wave functions $\varphi_{3\pi}(\alpha_i)$, $\varphi_\perp(\alpha_i)$, $\varphi_\parallel(\alpha_i)$, $\tilde{\varphi}_\perp(\alpha_i)$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_\parallel(\alpha_i)$ to calculate $I_3^G(u_0)$ and $I_4^G(u_0)$, since these wave functions are not known very well. $f_{3\pi} = 0.0035 \text{ GeV}^2$.

4 Numerical results and discussion

We now turn to the numerical evaluation of the sum rules for the coupling constants. Since the spectral density of the sum rule (24)-(28) $\rho(s)$ is either proportional to s^2 or s^3 ,

the continuum has to be subtracted carefully. We use the value of the continuum threshold ω_c determined from the corresponding mass sum rule at the leading order of α_s and $1/m_Q$ [16].

The lower limit of T is determined by the requirement that the terms of higher twists in the operator expansion is reasonably smaller than the leading twist, say $\leq 1/3$ of the latter. This leads to $T > 1.3$ GeV for the sum rules (24)-(28). In fact the twist-four terms contribute only a few percent to the sum rules. The upper limit of T is constrained by the requirement that the continuum contribution is less than 50%. This corresponds to $T < 2.2$ GeV.

The variation of $g_{2,3}$ with the Borel parameter T and ω_c is presented in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2. The curves correspond to $\omega_c = 2.4, 2.5, 2.6$ GeV from bottom to top respectively. Stability develops for the sum rules (24) and (28) in the region $1.3 \text{ GeV} < T < 2.2 \text{ GeV}$, we get:

$$g_2 f_{\Sigma^*} f_{\Sigma} = (2.5 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3} \text{ GeV}^6, \quad (32)$$

$$g_3 f_{\Sigma^*} f_{\Lambda} = (6.8 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4} \text{ GeV}^6, \quad (33)$$

where the errors refers to the variations with T and ω_c in this region. And the central value corresponds to $T = 1.6$ GeV and $\omega_c = 2.5$ GeV.

Combining (31) we arrive at

$$g_2 = 1.56 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.3, \quad (34)$$

$$g_3 = 0.94 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.2, \quad (35)$$

where the second error takes into account the uncertainty in f's.

The recent CLEO measurement [20] of the $\Sigma_c^* \rightarrow \Lambda_c \pi$ decays gives $g_3 = \sqrt{3}(0.57 \pm 0.1)$ [21], where the factor $\sqrt{3}$ arises from the different notations. The decay $\Sigma_c^* \rightarrow \Sigma_c \pi$ is kinematically forbidden so the direct measurement of g_2 is impossible. In the large- N_c limit of QCD (N_c is the number of colors) $g_{2,3}$ is related to the nucleon axial charge $g_A = 1.25$, $g_2 = 3/2 g_A$, $g_3 = \sqrt{3/2} g_A$ [22, 23]. The quark model result is $g_3 = \sqrt{3} \times 0.61$, $g_2 = 1.5 \times (0.93 \pm 0.16)$ [21]. The short-distance QCD sum rules with the external field method [15] yields $g_2 = 1.5 \times (0.4 \sim 0.7)$, $g_3 = \sqrt{3/2} \times (0.4 \sim 0.7)$. In this work we employ light-cone QCD sum rules to calculate the strong coupling constants $g_{2,3}$. Both sum rules for g_2 and g_3 is very stable with reasonable variations of the Borel parameter T and the continuum threshold ω_c as can be seen from Fig. 1 and Fig. 2. It is interesting to note that the numerical values of g_2 and g_3 are consistent with both the experimental data and the quark model result [21]. It is also interesting to notice the deviation from the large N_c limit prediction [22, 23]. Moreover the result from the short-distance QCD sum rules is compatible with the present work with the light-cone QCD sum rule approach if we use the same values of ω_c and f 's though the errors are quite large.

Acknowledgements: S.-L. Zhu was supported by the National Postdoctoral Science Foundation of China and Y.D. was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

Figure Captions

Fig. 1. Dependence of g_2 on the Borel parameter T for different values of the continuum threshold ω_c . From top to bottom the curves correspond to $\omega_c = 2.6, 2.5, 2.4$ GeV.

Fig. 2. Dependence of g_3 on the Borel parameter T for different values of the continuum threshold ω_c . From top to bottom the curves correspond to $\omega_c = 2.6, 2.5, 2.4$ GeV.

References

- [1] B. Grinstein, Nucl. Phys. **B339** (1990) 253; E. Eichten and B. Hill, Phys. Lett. **B234** (1990) 511; A. F. Falk, H. Georgi, B. Grinstein and M. B. Wise, Nucl. Phys. **B343** (1990) 1; F. Hussain, J. G. Körner, K. Schilcher, G. Thompson and Y. L. Wu, Phys. Lett. **B249** (1990) 295; H. Georgi, Phys. Lett. **B240** (1990) 447; J. G. Körner and G. Thompson, Phys. Lett. **B264** (1991) 185.
- [2] M.A. Shifman, A.I. Vainshtein and V.I. Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. **B 174** (1979) 385, 448, 519.
- [3] P. Colangelo, G. Nardulli, A. A. Ovchinnikov and N. Paver, Phys. Lett. **B269** (1991) 204; P. Colangelo, G. Nardulli and N. Paver, Phys. Lett. **B293** (1992) 207.
- [4] Y. B. Dai, C. S. Huang, M. Q. Huang and C. Liu, Phys. Lett. **B390** (1997) 350; Y. B. Dai, C. S. Huang and M. Q. Huang, Phys. Rev. **D 55** (1997) 5719.
- [5] Y.B.Dai et al., report BIHEP-TH-97-005 (hep-ph/9705223).
- [6] N. Di Bartolomeo, F. Feruglio, R. Gatto and G. Nardulli, Phys. Lett. **B 347** (1995) 405.
- [7] P. Colangelo, G. Nardulli, A. Deandrea, N.Di Bartolomeo, R.Gatto and F.Feruglio, Phys. Lett. **B 339** (1994) 151.
- [8] P. Colangelo, F. De Fazio, N. Di Bartolomeo, R. Gatto and G. Nardulli, Phys. Rev. **D 52** (1995) 6422.
- [9] V.L.Eletski and Y. I. Kogan, Z.Phys. **C 28** (1985) 155.
- [10] A. G. Grozin and O. I. Yakovlev, preprint BUDKERINP-94-3 (hep-ph/9401267).
- [11] S. Narison and H. G. Dosch, Phys. Lett. **B 368** (1996) 163.
- [12] V. M. Braun and I. E. Filyanov, Z. Phys. **C 44** (1989) 157; V. M. Belyaev, V. M. Braun, A. Khodjamirian and R. Rückl, Phys. Rev. **D 51** (1995) 6177.

- [13] T.M. Aliev, N.K. Pak and M.Savci, Phys. Lett. **B 390** (1997) 335.
- [14] P. Colangelo and F. de Fazio, BARI-TH/97-250.
- [15] A. G. Grozin and O. I. Yakovlev, WUE/ITP-97-016 (hep-ph/9706421).
- [16] A. G. Grozin and O. I. Yakovlev, Phys. Lett. **B285** (1992) 254;
S. Groote, J. G. Korner, and O. I. Yakovlev, Phys. Rev. **D54** (1996) 3447; **D55** (1997) 3016.
- [17] A. Falk and M. Luke, Phys. Lett. **B292** (1992) 119; U. Kilian, J.G. Körner, and D. Pirjol, Phys. Lett. **B288** (1992) 360.
- [18] E. Bagan, P. Ball, V. M. Braun and H. G. Dosch, Phys. Lett. **B278** (1992) 457; M. Neubert, Phys. Rev. **D 45** (1992) 2451; D. J. Broadhurst and A. G. Grozin, Phys. Lett. **B274** (1992) 421.
- [19] P. Cho, Phys. Lett. **B285** (1992) 145.
- [20] CLEO Collab., G. Brandenburg et al., Preprint CLNS 96/1427, CLEO 96-13 (1996).
- [21] H.-Y. Cheng, Phys. Lett. **B399** (1997)281.
- [22] Z. Guralnik, M. Luke and A. V. Manohar, Nucl. Phys. **B 390** (1993) 474.
- [23] E. Jenkins, Phys. Lett. **B 315** (1993) 431.



